## REMARKS

The undersigned thanks the Examiner for the telephone call on January 30, 2006 in connection with the above-identified patent application.

In the telephone call, the Examiner agreed (subject to final consideration upon reviewing this Reply) that the claims of the above-identified patent application would be allowable if the features of claim 32 were incorporated into claim 30 and if the dependency of claim 33 was updated to reflect this change.

The undersigned and the Examiner also agreed that the January 30, 2006 Office Action should not be treated as a "final action" and that the Patent Office would issue a form PTO-892 (or equivalent) listing the newly-cited Hsu reference (2003/0234436A1).

In view of the foregoing, claims 1-16, 22-27, 29-31, and 33 are patentable. This application is therefore in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

G. Victor Treyz

Reg. No. 36,294

Attorney for Applicants

Customer No. 45851